This case came before the Civil Service Commission at its regularly scheduled meeting on March 4, 2014, at 5:45 p.m., at its office located in Sinajana, Guam.

Eric Santos ("Employee") was present as was his lay representative, David Babauta, from Guam Federation of Teachers. Present for Management was Director Jose San Augustin. Donna E. Lawrence, Esq., from the Attorney General's Office, was present and represented Management.

I. ISSUE

Did Employee meet his burden of proof under CSC AAR 9 regarding his Motion for Dismissal filed with the Civil Service Commission?

II. HOLDING

After considering the pleadings and the arguments of counsel, the CSC finds that Employee did not meet his burden of proof relating to his Motion to Dismiss the adverse action
appeal for an alleged violation of the 60 day rule. The CSC finds that Management took action within the time period allowed under 4 G.C.A. § 4406, and that there were no procedural defects.

III.
JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of the Civil Service Commission is based upon the Organic Act of Guam, 4 G.C.A. Section § 4401 et. seq. and the personnel rules and regulations.

IV.
CONCLUSION

By a vote of 6-0, the Commission denies Employee’s Motion to Dismiss the adverse action. Employee failed to meet his burden of proof. The matter shall proceed to a merit hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 1st DAY OF October 2014.
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