

IN THE MATTER OF:

CHRISTOPHER FLORIG,

VS.

GUAM FIRE DEPARTMENT,

## **BEFORE THE** GUAM CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION **BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

Employee,

Management.



4

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DECISION AND ORDER

//

//

Christopher Florig vs. Guam Fire Department Adverse Appeal Case No.: 18-AA07T

ADVERSE ACTION APPEAL **CASE NO.: 18-AA07T** 

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter came before the Commission October 10, 2019, to hear Administrative Law Judge (ALJ), Eric D. Miller's recommendations as to Management's multiple motions. Commissioners present were Chairman Luis R. Baza, Vice-Chairman Juan K. Calvo, Commissioner Priscilla T. Tuncap, Commissioner John Smith, and Commissioner Emilia F. Rice. Also present were the Employee's attorney, Edwin Torres, and Management's attorney, Assistant Attorney General Matthew E. Wolff.

Commissioner Rice disqualified herself because she and her family have a close relationship to the employee and his family. ALJ Miller read his recommendations into the record.

Page 1 of 2

The Commission voted 4 to 0 (Commissioner Rice not voting) to ratify and adopt the recommendations of the ALJ, a copy of which is attached hereto. Attorney Wolff objected to the 10-day deadline for his office to have responded to the recommendations and Chairman Baza noted the objection on the record.

SO ORDERED THIS 7th DAY OF Nouba , 2019.

Chairperson

Commissioner

Commissioner

Vice-Chairperson

Commissioner

Commissioner

**DECISION AND ORDER** 

Christopher Florig vs. Guam Fire Department

Adverse Appeal Case No.: 18-AA07T

Page 2 of 2



## BEFORE THE GUAM CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE



IN THE MATTER OF:

CHRISTOPHER FLORIG,

Employee,

vs.

GUAM FIRE DEPARTMENT,

Management.

ADVERSE ACTION APPEAL CASE NO.: 18-AA07T

RECOMMENDATIONS FOLLOWING CONTINUED MOTION HEARING SEPTEMBER 24, 2019

This matter came before the undersigned, sitting as a duly-appointed Administrative Law Judge pursuant to 4 GCA, §4405(c), and CSC AAR 14, to hear the continued pre-hearing motions of the parties on September 24, 2019. Employee was present and represented by Attorney Joshua Walsh. Management was represented by Assistant Attorney Generals Donna Lawrence and Matthew Wolff. This matter was a continuation of the hearing held May 1, 2019. Following the May 1, 2019 hearing, the undersigned filed a recommendation on May 24, 2019. The Commission upon the request of Management referred the matter back to the undersigned to hear further argument. The recommendations filed by the undersigned on May 24, 2019 are incorporated by reference as though fully written herein.

Counsel for Employee stated that the Employee is not seeking any more documents from Management at this time. It appears from Management's statements that they believe they have provided to Employee all materials in their possession relevant to this adverse action.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOLLOWING CONTINUED MOTION HEARING; SEPTEMBER 24, 2019 Christopher Florig vs. Guam Fire Department

Adverse Action Appeal Case No.: 18-AA07T

Page 1 of 3

20

21

22

23

24

RECOMMENDATIONS FOLLOWING CONTINUED MOTION HEARING; SEPTEMBER 24, 2019
Christopher Florig vs. Guam Fire Department

Adverse Action Appeal Case No.: 18-AA07T

Management brought forth the following motions:

1. Management requests a ruling as to whether Article 2 of the Administrative Adjudication Law applies to CSC hearings.

5 GCA, §9200 Applicability states:

"The procedure of any agency shall be conducted pursuant to the provisions of this Chapter in any proceeding before an agency in which legal rights, duties or privileges of specific parties are required by law to be determined after an agency hearing."

This statute must be interpreted in accordance with its plain language which clearly states that the procedure of "any agency shall be conducted pursuant to the provisions of this chapter." Nothing in the statute indicates an exception to this rule. The statute is a guide to show how agencies should operate and any rules made pursuant to the statute must be compliant with the statute.

The undersigned, therefore finds that Article 2 of the Administrative Adjudication Law applies to CSC hearings and CSC hearings must be compliant with the statute.

2. Management moved to delay this matter until the Supreme Court of Guam issues its opinion in the case of Mark Charfaurous where the issue of Management's request to call the employee first may be decided. Delaying this matter to await the decision of the Guam Supreme Court would put this matter in an open ended delay unfair to the employee and contrary to the mission of the CSC to timely process the appeals of employees. Moreover the decision in Charfaurous will not be dispositive of this case. It may set a rule on the order of witnesses but it will not give the CSC guidance on how to decide this case on its merits. Management's motion to stay this case is **DENIED**.

Page 2 of 3

1

2

3

- 3. Management simultaneously moves for a ruling as to whether the time standards have been waived and notes that time standards in effect at the time this case began were "aspirational" not mandatory. Whether the time standards have been waived or not has no bearing on the outcome of this matter before the CSC and the undersigned makes no ruling as to this matter.
- 4. Management moves for an order allowing it to subpoena Joseph Razzano as a witness. Management has not requested a subpoena and no date has yet been set for the hearing on the merits. The undersigned sees no reason why a request for the subpoena would not be granted.
- 5. Management seeks a ruling that the Open Government Law (OGL) was violated on October 25, 2019, November 8, 2018, and January 15, 2019. If the OGL was violated any actions of the Commission on those dates would be void. A finding by the Commission either way with respect to the OGL will not make a decision valid or void. Management's request for a ruling is **DENIED**.

## CONCLUSIONS

The ALJ respectfully recommends that the Commission resolve the motions herein as indicated above.

Dated this 27th day of September, 2019.

ERIC D. MILLER

Administrative Law Judge Civil Service Commission

22

19

20

21

23

RECOMMENDATIONS FOLLOWING CONTINUED